

International Congress Sustainable Urban Agricultures: Vector for the Ecological Transition, 6-9 June 2017, Toulouse, France

Session 2 – Urban Agriculture in the urban projects and experiences

Community gardens.

The city dwellers contribution to the agro-food system in Rennes: a self-sustainable development perspective

GIULIA GIACCHE'

giacche.giulia13@gmail.com

YVON LE CARO

yvon.lecaro@univ-rennes2.fr



JARDISAT PROJECT (02.2016 - 07.2017)

Scientific Coordinator: Yvon Le Caro; Post-doc fellow: Giulia Giacchè Funded by Brittany Region (SAD program)

Understand the community gardens' contribution

to the territorial agri-food system.



Brittany

- Inhabitants: 3,300,000
- UAA : 66% of the regional area
- Intensive farming area: Dairy, Pork, Poultry, Tomatoes,..

Rennes

- Inhabitants: 207,000 / 450,000 (RM)
- Main economic sectors: Communications / Cars

Food Policies and Urban Agriculture

Sustainable Food Plan

- Public Procurement : 12,200 meals/day
- 96% producers are localized on the "Grand Ouest"





Techniques / toolsData CollectedInterviews / interviews grid45 interviews / 31 gardeners, 7
referents gardens or facilitators; 4
associations, 3 institutions)Participant observation / field notebookField Notes and PhotosParticipation at meeting, round table,
happeningsNotes and Photos



Theoretical background

The territorialist's approach

- Investigate the self-sustaining local development models, giving special attention to the inhabitants who take care of the places they inhabit (Poli, 2003; Magnaghi, 2010, Dematteis & Magnaghi, 2016).
- 3 Level of analysis : individuals, resources, process
- The research of economists and sociologists on territorial agri-food systems
 - Identify concrete issues and stakeholder systems in urban contexts (Rastoin & Ghersi, 2010)
 - 3 main categories : social morphology, spatiality, economic dynamics
- Social geography
 - Analyse the agri-urban issues and the power relations that are at the root of the spaces of urban agriculture "in transactions" (Séchet, Garat & Zeneidi, 2008)
 - Exploring dimensions of "espaces enjeux" (living space/spatial issues)

Method : A- Thematic analysis

Code	Analysis Level	Code	Topic / Issues		Code	Dimension	
1	Individuals	А	Agriculture		1	Ideologies	
		Μ	Food				
		L	Ties and	d Network	G	Collective space management	
		Q	Scale: g	arden / district			
R	Resources	Х	Space construction Inputs / Outputs		E	Key Space	
		I/E			F	Flows	
		S	Knowled	lge	Т	Temporalities	
Ρ	Processes	J	stakeholders, leadership		Ρ	People / Key actor	
		С	Coordination vs competition		Н	Scale /level	
and pla the «inl	The construction aces, though they habitants-garden ces, are structurin tions	y result f ers» agr	rom	HP2 : The process of territorialisation of the agro-food system is due to the richness of the interactions , flows and networks that are mobilized more than to the localism of the economic chains			

B-Lexicometric analysis 30 Interviews : 80,000 forms Collective construction of: Agri-food practices community SDACES classe 2 classe 1 classe 3 37 % 28.7 % 34.2 % 28.7.% 37.90 District Tomato Garden iardin quartier tomate gens **School** école. acheter People **Buy** légume partager association. Association Vegetables Share public parcelle plante espace manger mail Plots Plant **Public** penser retraite graine permaculture E-mail Eat organiser salade Space lien. andrea terre Retired croiser Seed Think maison prendre. iardinier pomme **Organize** Salad semaine lieu Permaculture fraise. contact parter Home Soil aimer vves. brest. Bond mettre. jardiner demander Week Take poireau Crossing dynamique moment Contact fruit Potato familial timad Gardener eau trouver Ask réunion Strawberry pousser Dynamic ensemble année. apprendre. Moment mce Love envoyer fleur Family idée. projet Meeting planter Put 8 envie Find mois. marché ioël-Year **Fruits** info saison Together ville site Send feuille Water voir line Idea haricot créer impliquer **Project** Grow plaisin démarche Desire dernier. radis. Learn social Month accompagner semer Flower



		Class 1	Class 2		Class 3		
	HP1	linkages		places		practices	
[HP2	interactions		networks		flows	Ы
		?		?		?	

the construction of the social **linkages** results from positive ("exchange") as negative ("pollution", "difficulty") **interactions**. the construction of **places** with the **networks** construction and coordination by stressing the different roles and functions

the **flow** of resources with the gardeners' **practices** in relation with their relatives

Linkages / Interactions

The community gardens are a places to share, exchange and discuss and the inhabitants are looking for spaces to forge ties with neighbours

"my motivation is also to be at my door, before we lived in a house with a garden and we decided to move to an apartment and we are very pleased with this choice because in our garden we did not see the neighbours, we did not see people and I've tried to make my vegetable garden but I was not interested in do it alone and now I spend more time in this garden than in my own, even if I do not spend much I still spend a lot of time" (Héloïse, interview conducted in November 2016)



Places / Networks

bottom-up driving-force / "top down" approach of the public body

Vert le Jardin facilitates the access to these process and tries to create a network at neighborhood level.

"For us the most important is the consultation we organize the "door to door" and we do very regularlyto each project we meet people with either the technicians of the municipality or with the manager of their building, there are people who are not used to go therefor, garden is also a pretext to take part in the city life. After the definition of the values there are other stagesthe agreement with the municipality of Rennes anyway, all the time we try to bring closer to the neighbourhood association» (Veronique, interview conducted in March 2016).

"Vert le Jardin association asked us to write a charter because the municipality asked for it and at the beginning It was not easy to do it considered that people were not necessarily motivated to do that it, they would be a totally freedom status but at the same time it is the municipality that provides us the plot ". (Jonas, interview conducted in May 2016)



Pratices / Flows

"Genealogical Flows" determine the gardener's agricultural and food practices

Agricultural practice in rupture: "in the 80s it was the chemical and I am everything except chemical today ..., movements like permaculture and agroecology are top and those could be the norm and there are some studies that demonstrate that agroecology it is no less productive we can produce in a quantitative and qualitative way we just have to accept to produce differently we can not ask to the soil to produce all the year and all the time the same thing, the rotation and then it show that it is better to have several small farms than big players in the sector" (Charles, interview conducted in 2016)

Food practice in continuity (after a break-up period) "*I did* not discover new stuff in the garden, my parents were really oriented to organic food and naturopathy and I've really needed to break with that for a while because they were too militant...

I was tired of this morality because their speech was ...that people ate badly and that we must eat only the natural and organic and at the same time I thank my mother because she really educated us to organic, vegetables and everything, she allow me to discover all those varieties" (Maelle, interview conducted in 2016)



Final Remarks

- Method: lexicometry analysis ex-post confirms and enriches the thematic analysis
- Background theory: a pluralistic approach allow us to understand and explore the complexity of the CG / territorial AFS

HP1 : The construction of linkages and places, though they result from the «inhabitants-gardeners» agri-food practices, are structuring their motivations **HP2**: The process of territorialisation of the agro-food system is due to the richness of the interactions, flows and networks that are mobilized more than to the localism of the economic chains

The city-dwellers involvement in agro-food practices indicates some objectives and issues of territorial AFS e.g. territorial anchoring, diversified diet, technologies adapted to the environment, proximity in the food chain though that proximity refers more to the organisational and relational patterns than to spatial ones.

Literature

Dematteis G., A. Magnaghi., 2016, « Relazione introduttiva », communication à la *Conférence annuelle de la Société des Territorialistes*, 20-21 octobre 2016, Galicano (Italie) [en ligne : <u>http://www.societadeiterritorialisti.it/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/</u><u>Relazione-introduttiva-Dematteis-Magnaghi.pdf</u>

Magnaghi A., 2010, *Progetto Locale*, Bollati Boringhieri, 256 p. (2ème édition).

Poli D., 2003, Attraversare le immagini del territorio : un percorso fra geografia e pianificazione, All'insegna del giglio, Firenze, 89 p.

Rastoin J.-L., G. Ghersi, 2010, *Le système alimentaire mondial : concepts et méthodes, analyses et dynamiques*, Quae, Anthony , 584 p.

Séchet R., I. Garat, D. Zeneidi (dir.), 2008, *Espaces en transactions*. Presses universitaires de Rennes, Rennes, 359 p. (Coll. Géographie sociale).

Merci pour votre attention....

Aknoldegement : Brittany Region